



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/734,491	12/11/2003	Maksim Ioffe	NVID-078/00US 140060-2153	1636
23419 7590 08/22/2008 COOLEY GODWARD KRONISH LLP ATTN: Patent Group Suite 1100 777 - 6th Street, NW Washington, DC 20001			EXAMINER WHIPPLE, BRIAN P	
			ART UNIT 2152	PAPER NUMBER
			MAIL DATE 08/22/2008	DELIVERY MODE PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/734,491	Applicant(s) IOFFE ET AL.	
	Examiner Brian P. Whipple	Art Unit 2152	

All Participants:

(1) Brian P. Whipple.

(2) Edward Van Gieson.

Date of Interview: 20 August 2008

Status of Application: Pending

(3) Dohm Chankong.

(4) _____.

Time: 2:15 PM

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1, 4, and 10

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Dohm Chankong/
Examiner, Art Unit 2152

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed:

Examiner indicated that claim 1 had been approved for allowance by Supervisor. Attorney noted several typos / minor errors to be fixed in claim 1 and several of its dependents. Attorney also indicated he would change claim 4 to be a dependent upon claim 1 (and amend accordingly) and then cancel claim 4's dependents. Claim 10 would be amended to more closely match the language of claim 1. Attorney will have a fax sent to Examiner with proposed language. Examiner will review the document, and if sufficient to overcome the rejections, will enter it formally as an Examiner's Amendment.

Brian P. Whipple
/B.P.W./
Examiner, Art Unit 2152
8/20/08